1. Background Information:

1.1) Year in School:

- Freshman: 1
- Sophomore: 1
- Junior: 9
- Senior: 1
- Graduate: 0
- Other: 0

1.2) UCLA GPA:

- Below 2.0: 0
- 2.0 - 2.49: 0
- 2.5 - 2.99: 0
- 3.0 - 3.49: 2
- 3.5+: 9
- Not Established: 1

1.3) Expected Grade:

- A: 10
- B: 1
- C: 0
- D: 0
- F: 0
- P: 0
- NP: 0
- ?: 1

1.4) What requirements does this course fulfill?

- Major: 11
- Related Field: 0
- G.E.: 0
- None: 1

No. of responses = 12
Enrollment = 12
Response Rate = 100%
2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

2.1) Instructor Concern - The instructor was concerned about student learning.

2.2) Organization - Class presentations were well prepared and organized.

2.3) Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.

2.4) Communication Skills - The instructor had good communication skills.

2.5) Value - You have learned something you consider valuable.

2.6) Overall - Your overall rating of the instructor.

2.7) Overall - Your overall rating of the course.

3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

3.1) Subject interest before course

3.2) Subject interest after course

3.3) Mastery of course material
### Difficulty (relative to other courses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>High</th>
<th>n=12</th>
<th>av.=1.5</th>
<th>md=1.5</th>
<th>dev.=0.52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Workload/pace was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Too Slow</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Too Much</th>
<th>n=12</th>
<th>av.=1.92</th>
<th>md=2</th>
<th>dev.=0.29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Texts, required readings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>n=7</th>
<th>av.=2.43</th>
<th>md=3</th>
<th>dev.=0.79</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Homework assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>n=5</th>
<th>av.=2.6</th>
<th>md=3</th>
<th>dev.=0.89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Graded materials, examinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>n=12</th>
<th>av.=2.83</th>
<th>md=3</th>
<th>dev.=0.39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lecture presentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>n=12</th>
<th>av.=2.92</th>
<th>md=3</th>
<th>dev.=0.29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Class discussions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>n=12</th>
<th>av.=2.83</th>
<th>md=3</th>
<th>dev.=0.39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments:

4.1) Please identify what you perceive to be the real strengths and weaknesses of this instructor and course.

- Dr. Chen is an amazing professor. He is by far the most kind and welcoming instructor who clearly cares about his students. Not only does he care about the students' learning, but he cares about their wellbeing and mental health which I think is highly undervalued. He has a very sweet soul. All instructors should take a page out of Dr. Chen's book. The world would be very different if they did. The course material could be repetitive sometimes, but that's just the nature of the course material as it's so new that there isn't much to lecture about. It's very interesting material and I think in the coming years, it will blow up. Dr. Chen is already a pioneering researcher and he will continue to make amazing discoveries. Highly recommend taking a course with Dr. Chen at least once.

- Dr. Chen was amazing!!! He is an incredibly fair and understanding professor! I just wish that I was able to take more classes with him as I felt that I learned something valuable without too much stress about doing well in the class.

- He always bring the newest updated information for the each lecture to make sure students learn well. He shows videos for better understanding.
  He always stay after the lecture to answer questions. When he said there is no stupid questions, this encouraged students to ask questions easily. This helps to students understand the material very deeply. Also, for the group project, people were having difficult time to make their own groups. But he was offering helps to students to form a group. When students have in the situation that they don't know
anyone to form a group, they are very ashamed to talk about and ask helps. However, because of Dr. Chen's consideration and kindness, all students were able to form a group very easily without any big stress. Professor Chen is the best professor I ever had.

- It was a joy to take BE 188 with Dr. Chen. His slides were very well designed, and each lecture was like a mini lesson in how to give a good scientific presentation. He always welcomed student questions and it was clear that he cares a lot about student learning and our well-being. This class was not stressful and always felt like a genuine opportunity to learn about this incredible field. I really appreciate Dr. Chen's openness to student opinions regarding whether we should stay in a virtual format for this class or return to being in person. I really did appreciate the flexibility that the online class offered, but I did miss the interaction with my peers. Usually there were just a few of us with our cameras on and it would have been nice if more people actively participated (although this is of course no fault of Dr. Chen's- it is simply the nature of online classes). The only thing that I would have liked to learn more about is the design process of developing nanogenerators and the thought process that goes into developing new applications for nanogenerators. I think this information would have been very valuable preparation for capstone. Additionally, I would have liked to see a bit more of the theory, particularly the physics of nanogenerators.

- Professor Chen genuinely cares very much for his students and enjoys teaching. Since his first lecture, he has demonstrated strong enthusiasm and interest in teaching about nanogenerators in bioengineering. I can tell that he puts a lot of work and effort into his presentations and wants the best for his students. He is an amazing professor, hopefully, I get to take another one of his classes in the future!

- Professor Chen is an incredible professor and I learned a lot and had a lot of fun in this class. I loved that I never felt stressed out because of this class but always learned something valuable. He was always very approachable and always willing to help. This was one of my favorite experiences in a class at UCLA.

- The course was very interesting and had content that was taught in a way that was engaging and easy to understand. The assignments and assessments allowed us to appropriately demonstrate our understanding of the material. The professor is extremely helpful and committed to making sure all students have the best learning experience possible. It is a class I would highly recommend to anyone interested in this topic.

- This professor is truly one of the best and most caring I have met at UCLA. His presentations were always so good and engaging and he really puts in the effort to let you know that he will support you. I cannot emphasize how helpful he is and this class really inspired me to consider this field in my future career.
**Profile**

**Subunit:** BIOENGR 188 LEC 2: SPECIAL COURSES

- **Name of the instructor:** J. CHEN
- **Name of the course:** 22W: BIOENGR 188 LEC 2: SPECIAL COURSES

Values used in the profile line: Mean

### 2. To What Extent Do You Feel That:

1. **Instructor Concern - The instructor was concerned about student learning.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=9.00

2. **Organization - Class presentations were well prepared and organized.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=9.00

3. **Interaction - Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of the class.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=9.00

4. **Communication Skills - The instructor had good communication skills.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=8.92

5. **Value - You have learned something you consider valuable.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=8.67

6. **Overall - Your overall rating of the instructor.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=9.00

7. **Overall - Your overall rating of the course.**
   - Very Low or Never
   - Very High or Always
   - n=12  av.=8.75

### 3. Your View of Course Characteristics:

1. **Subject interest before course**
   - Low
   - High
   - n=12  av.=2.17

2. **Subject interest after course**
   - Low
   - High
   - n=12  av.=2.92

3. **Mastery of course material**
   - Low
   - High
   - n=12  av.=2.83

4. ** Difficulty (relative to other courses)**
   - Low
   - High
   - n=12  av.=1.50

5. **Workload/pace was**
   - Too Slow
   - Too Much
   - n=12  av.=1.92

6. **Texts, required readings**
   - Poor
   - Excellent
   - n=7  av.=2.43

7. **Homework assignments**
   - Poor
   - Excellent
   - n=5  av.=2.60

8. **Graded materials, examinations**
   - Poor
   - Excellent
   - n=12  av.=2.83

9. **Lecture presentations**
   - Poor
   - Excellent
   - n=12  av.=2.92

10. **Class discussions**
    - Poor
    - Excellent
    - n=12  av.=2.83